文稿頁面 文號:1120006672

> 檔 號: 112/0899/1/ 保存年限:3年

便簽單位:研究發展處

速別:普通件

密等及解密條件或保密期限:

學術發展組擬辦:

- 一、文陳閱後,公告於電子公布欄、學校首頁最新消息及本 處訊息公告。
- 二、文存。

#### 會辦單位:

裝

訂

線

第二層決行 承辦單位 行 政 盧錦惠 1748 辦事員 盧錦恵 1748 授 蔣恩沛 1511

國立中興大學



### 教育部 函

機關地址:100217臺北市中正區中山南路

5號

承辦人:陳一惠 電話:02-7736-7807 傳真:02-3343-7835

受文者:國立中興大學

發文日期:中華民國112年4月13日

發文字號:臺教學(二)字第1120036764A號

速別:普通件

裝

訂

線

密等及解密條件或保密期限:

附件: 2023年APEC健康女性、健康經濟體研究獎參賽資訊及申請表件 (附件一A09000000E 1120036764A senddoc2 Attach1.pdf)

主旨:函轉有關「2023年APEC健康女性、健康經濟體研究獎」 (Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research Prize) 報 名及參賽資訊,詳如說明,請查照。

#### 說明:

- 一、依據行政院性別平等處112年4月10日院臺性平字第 1125007097號函辦理。
- 二、旨揭獎項係於2019年亞太經濟合作會議(簡稱APEC)主辦國智利與默克集團共同啟動,並納入APEC婦女與經濟論壇(Women and Economy Forum)周邊活動之一,鼓勵各經濟體藉由性別數據分析及研究的實證基礎,協助決策者及企業家制定具體政策,提升女性健康,促進女性職場參與,使女性可以投入勞動市場並參與經濟活動。
- 三、旨揭獎項報名及參賽資訊(詳如附件申請表件):
  - (一)參賽研究主題:須至少符合「健康女性、健康經濟體」倡議所關注五大領域之一:職場健康與安全、健康意識與近用、工作與生活平衡、性與生育健康以及性別暴力,且為2021年1月1日後發表之原創研究。
  - (二)参賽資格:凡APEC會員國民或永久居民均可參賽,不 須具備學術背景,且得以個人或是團隊方式(需指名團

國立中興大學

第1頁,共10頁 線上簽核文件列印 - 第2頁/共11頁





隊領導人員)參賽。

- (三)截止日期:自即日起至本(2023)年6月3日止。
- (四)徵件流程:提名申請表、研究文件PDF檔、推薦信乙封,及每位參與研究者之證件照,傳送至主辦單位電郵信箱(HWHE@crowell.com),提交之研究作品應以英文撰擬,或經專業翻譯為英文。
- (五)主辦單位預計於本年7月17日至21日通知三名決賽入圍者,並將於8月APEC婦女與經濟論壇周邊活動分享研究成果。
- (六)獎勵:首獎獎金為20,000美元,第二名與第三名獎金為5,000美元;倘得獎者為政府官員,則獎金將轉贈予非營利團體Healthy Women,或由得獎者指定轉贈予特定非營利組織。
- 四、鑒於APEC為我國際參與之重要平臺,請鼓勵貴校教師及 研究人員踴躍參加。

正本:各公私立大專校院



訂

線





in partnership with

**нealthy** иомеп, **нealthy** economies

## apec Healthy Worvien, Healthy Economyles

# RESEARCH PRIZE 2023 NOMINATION FORM

Deadline June 3, 2023

#### Background:

The Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) is a regional economic forum established in 1989 to leverage the growing interdependence of the Asia-Pacific. APEC's 21 members aim to create greater prosperity for the people of the region by promoting balanced, inclusive, sustainable, innovative and secure growth and by accelerating regional economic integration. Every year one of the 21 APEC Member Economies hosts APEC meetings and serves as the APEC Chair. Chile is the first APEC host economy to select "Women, SMEs and Inclusive Growth" as one of its APEC host year themes. On November 17, 2018 President Sebastián Piñera announced Chile's intention to inaugurate an "APEC Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research Prize" in partnership with Merck<sup>1</sup>.

Since 2014 the APEC "Healthy Women, Healthy Economies" (HWHE) initiative has been working on a public-private partnership basis across three APEC working groups – the Policy Partnership on Women and the Economy (PPWE), ealth Working Group (HWG), and Human Resources Development Working Group (HRDWG) – to improve women's health so women can join, thrive, and rise in the workforce<sup>2</sup>. Merck, as the primary HWHE private sector partner, co-chaired development of the <u>2015 HWHE Policy Toolkit</u>, which details the issues, actions, and implementing elements for improving women's health across five pillars: workplace health and safety; health access and awareness; work-life balance; sexual and reproductive health; and gender-based violence.

HWHE has found that sex-disaggregated data and gender-based research and analysis is lacking. Policymakers, business leaders and others do not have adequate data and evidence to draw from to identify gender-specific interventions appropriate for their economies and organizations. To spotlight and spur much-needed data and evidence, Chile, along with Merck's support, has created an annual prize recognizing research that enables policymakers, business leaders, and others to identify and implement measures to improve women's health in APEC economies so women can join and rise in the workforce.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The APEC Policy Partnership on Women and the Economy, Health Working Group, and Human Resources Development Working Group have also endorsed the APEC Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research Prize.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Not-for-profit entities must be unaffiliated with governments or government

In order to be considered for this prize, individuals must submit an original piece of research that was published on or after January 1, 2021. All researchers from APEC economies are welcome to apply; you do not require a background in academia in order to be considered. However, the research must be evidence based. Furthermore, the research must be submitted in English. If the research was not originally written in English, please have it professionally translated. The winner of the prize will win USD \$20,000, while the 2 runners-up will win USD \$5,000 each. If the winner or runner up is from government then the prize money will be given instead to HealthyWomen (a women's health not-for-profit). Alternatively, the winner or runners-up may designate a not-for-profit entity to receive the prize money<sup>3</sup>. Please attribute the research to all involved in its making, but only one individual may be nominated and eligible to receive the prize money.

#### **Timeline**

Dates	
Through June 3	Submit nomination form to <u>HWHE@crowell.com⁴.</u>
June 7– 21	Prize Committee members are asked to rank the top applications, also according to the criteria on Pages 8-9.
June 23 – July 7	PPWE members are asked to rank the top applications, also according to the criteria on Pages 8-9.
July 10 – 14	Rankings are tabulated and shared with the Prize Committee and PPWE Chair. The PPWE Chair approves the tabulation
July 17 – 21	The three finalists are notified.
August	The three finalists share their research at an event on the margins of the APEC Women and the Economy Forum.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> C&M International is the prize sponsor's designated representative.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Prize Committee consists of: the PPWE Chair, the PPWE representative from next year's host economy, 1 representative from Chile (as the proposing economy), 1 representative from academia nominated by the Association of Pacific Rim Universities (APRU), and 1 Merck executive.

#### **Documents:**

Submit to HWHE@crowell.com


- **1.** Nomination form;
- 2. PDF version of the research<sup>6</sup>;
- 3. One letter of recommendation;
- 4. A head shot of each individual involved in the research.

SECTION 1 OF 5 - Nominee Information:	
APEC Member Economy <sup>6</sup> :	
Last Name:	First Name:
Date of Birth (day/month/year):	
Address:	
Telephone:	Email:
Title:	
Institution/Affiliation:	
SECTION 2 OF 5 - Biography (up to 300 words)	
Please include a short biography of all involved with	the research
For nominated individual:	
For all others:	




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Note that while all contributors might be recognized, only the individual nominated will be eligible to win the prize money.









Contact: If you have any questions please email <a href="https://example.com"><u>HWHE@crowell.com</u></a> or call + 1 (202) 654-6729.



#### **Selection Criteria**

#### **RELEVANCE**

Research under consideration should address at least one of the five pillars identified in the 2015 HWHE Policy Toolkit, unless it offers a compelling case for a new area of women's health that has the potential to dramatically increase women's economic and social wellbeing in APEC economies. The five pillars are: workplace and health safety; health access and awareness; work-life balance; sexual and reproductive health; and gender-based violence.

Does the research address at least one of the five pillars identified in the HWHE Policy Toolkit? If not, does it make a compelling case for a new pillar that would improve women's economic and social standing in the APEC economies?

Not at all compelling	Not very compelling	Neutral	Somewhat compelling	Very compelling
1 🔘	2 🔿	3 <b>(</b> )	4 🔘	5 🔿

#### **INNOVATION**

Submitted research should present not only original data, but also innovative solutions supported by said data. Furthermore, while researchers should make use of the literature in their respective fields by citing pieces where appropriate, research that contain new policy solutions or approach existing solutions from a unique angle will receive higher consideration.

To what extent are the research and conclusions/recommendations original?

Not at all original	al Not very original Neutral Somewhat		Somewhat original	Very original
1 🔿	2 🔘	3 <b>O</b>	4 🔿	5 <b>(</b>





#### **IMPACT**

Not ability at all

Researchers should provide ample evidence for why their research has the potential to positively impact inclusive economic growth for women through discrete, actionable recommendations.

Not very strong ability

Does this research demonstrate an ability to positively impact inclusive economic growth for women?

Neutral

Somewhat strong ability

Somewhat sound

4 ()

1 🔘	2 🔿	<sup>3</sup> O	4 🔘	5 <b>O</b>			
Does the research in	clude discrete, actional	ble conclusio	ns/recommendations dra	awn from its research?			
No discrete and actionable recommendations at all	Not very discrete and actionable recommendations	Neutral	Somewhat discrete and actionable recommendations	Very discrete and actionable recommendations			
1 🔘	<sup>2</sup> O	<sup>3</sup> O	4 🔘	5 🔘			
<b>METHODOLOGY AND USE OF EVIDENCE-BASED RESEARCH</b> Given the need for evidence-based research to identify discrete policy recommendations for gender-based inclusive economic growth, it is crucial that sound methodologies are employed for any research used to draw conclusions concerning policy. As a result, any research under consideration should include a detailed explanation of the data collection process. Moreover, to the greatest extent possible, disaggregated data should be used to in order to support the researcher's exploration of the topic.							
Was the data collection process explained thoroughly and does it appear that the data was collected in a methodologically sound manner?							

							_		_
•	conclusions/i	recommendations	iustified wit	n a	robust	set	ΟŤ	data	1

Not very sound

<sup>2</sup> O

Not justified at all	Not very justified	Neutral	Somewhat justified	Very justifie
1 🔘	2 🔘	<sup>3</sup> O	4 🔘	5 <b>(</b>

Neutral

3 O

#### **FEASIBILITY**

Not sound at all

10

Any policy proposals borne out of the research ought to consider the feasibility of such recommendations and the likelihood that they will enact change. Researchers that offer solutions likely to be implemented will be rated more highly than those who offer solutions without any consideration of their practicality.

If any of the conclusions/recommendations were taken up, how feasible would it be to implement them?

Not feasible at all	Not very feasible	Neutral	Somewhat feasible	Very feasible	
¹ <b>O</b>	<sup>2</sup> (C)	3 <b>(</b>	4 <b>(</b> )	5 ○	

APEC Healthy Women, Healthy Economies, Research Prize 2023 Normalistics Form

Very strong ability

Very sound

5 (